

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/553,660	10/17/2005	Manabu Komatsu	03500.103123.	4672	
5514 7590 07/17/2009			EXAM	IINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO					

30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/553,660	KOMATSU ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Brian R. Gordon	1797

The amendment document filed on 15 July 2009 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following them; by required

item(s) is required.	ment document to be compliant, correction of the following
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AME  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include mant  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined  C. Other	kings.
2. Abstract:     A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFF     B. Other	R 1.72.
"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR  B. The practice of submitting proposed drawin	the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or 1.121(d).  Ig correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings s, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.
C. Each claim has not been provided with the of each claim cannot be identified. Note: I number by using one of the following statu: (Previously presented), (New), (Not entere □ D. The claims of this amendment paper have 区. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	xt of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status he status of every claim must be indicated after its claim s identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), d), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). not been presented in ascending numerical order.
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not sig	ned in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by	37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
<ol> <li>Applicant is given no new time period if the non-complia filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.</li> </ol>	ant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendmen non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the
(including a submission for a request for continued exam amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CF	following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment ination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental 'R 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a I, the correction required is only the corrected section of the
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.130 amendment or an amendment filed in response to a C	6(a) <u>only</u> if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final auayle action.
filed in response to a Quayle action; or	ant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental
/Brian R Gordon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-324 (01-06)

<sup>-</sup> The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324) Application No. 10/553,660

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The RCE is improper. Applicant has canceled all of the previously examined claims which were directed to an apparatus. Eventhough the preamble of claims 11-13 suggested the claims were directed to a method of screening none of the claims included a transitional phrase or any positive recitations of steps for performing a method. Regardless of such, new claims 14-17 are directed to a new, distinct invention that was not previously examined. As such, the claims would be subjected to a restriction requirement under election by original presentation. Since all claims directed to the previously examined invention have been cancelled, no claims are present for consideration. If, after an office action on an application, the applicant presents claims directed to an invention distinct from and independent of the invention previously claimed, the applicant will be required to restrict the claims to the invention previously claimed if the amendment is entered. 37 CFR 1.145.